UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,871	05/12/2005	Masao Yamashita	2005_0779A	6816	
***	7590 04/05/200 I, LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER			
2033 K STREE	· ·	EPPS, TODD MICHAEL			
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3632		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS 04/05/2007			PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/53	34,871	YAMASHITA ET	YAMASHITA ET AL.			
		Exam	iner	Art Unit				
			M. Epps	3632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>19 January</u>	<u>2007</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🛛	Claim(s) 9-19 is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-19</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. — Copies-of the-certified-copies-of the-priority-documents-have-been-received-in-this-National-Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	0.048)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	U-940)	5) 🔲 Notice o	of Informal Patent Application	nformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/19/07</u> . 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/534,871

Art Unit: 3632

• لأر. ...

DETAILED ACTION

This is the second Office Action **final** for serial number 10/534,871, <u>Container</u>

<u>Suspending Device</u>, filed on May 12, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-10, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,320,216 to Pangborn.

Pangborn '216 discloses a container suspending device (110 - figures 6-7) comprising: a container suspending base plate (116) fabricated by pulp molding (cardboard-like) having a plurality of circular openings (18), and a plurality of lock pieces (22) extending inwardly from an inner peripheral edge of each of the circular openings, diameters of the circular openings being formed so that head parts of containers to be suspended can pass therethrough, and diameters of virtual circles formed by connecting tips of the plurality of lock pieces to each other being formed smaller than—diameters of lock parts formed on peripheries around the head parts of the containers; and a top plate (112) bonded on an upper side of the container suspending base plate (116), in which square cap-shaped fitting parts (see figure 7) to cover the head parts of the containers to be suspended are formed. Accordingly, it would have been obvious

Art Unit: 3632

to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the shape of the fitting parts to be of various shapes including cap-shaped (circular) fitting parts since it has been held that a change in shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of art. *In re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Claims 11-13, and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,320,216 to Pangborn in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,046,711 to Harrison.

Pangborn '216 discloses the previous invention failing to teach wherein the container suspending base plate and the top plate are included with water-resistant finish. Nevertheless, Harrison '711 discloses wherein the container suspending device formed of carton board including a water-proof film. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the material of Pangborn '216 to include a water-proof film as taught by Harrison '711 wherein doing so would provide thereof a protection from contamination of the container.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 19, 2007 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,871

Art Unit: 3632

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd M. Epps whose telephone number is 571-272-8282. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 571-272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,871

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

Todd M. Epps Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3632 March 30, 2007 A. JOSEPH WUJCIAK III PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER